FIRST REGULAR SESSION

HOUSE BILL NO. 129

92ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY

INTRODUCED BY REPRESENTATIVE JOHNSON (47).

Pre-filed December 30, 2002, and copies ordered printed.

TED WEDEL, Chief Clerk

0803L.01I

AN ACT

To amend chapter 376, RSMo, by adding thereto seven new sections relating to the mandated health benefit review committee.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the state of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Chapter 376, RSMo, is amended by adding thereto seven new sections, to be

- known as sections 376.1575, 376.1578, 376.1581, 376.1584, 376.1587, 376.1590 and 376.1593,
- to read as follows:

3

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

- 376.1575. 1. The general assembly takes notice of the increasing number of 2 legislative proposals for mandating certain health coverages, whether such proposals mandate payments for certain providers of health care or mandate the offering of health coverages by health carriers as a component of individual or group policies. Improved access to these health care services to segments of the population who desire them may provide social and health consequences that are beneficial and in the public interest.
 - 2. The general assembly also takes notice of the fact that the cost ramifications of expanding health coverages is resulting in a growing public concern. The way that the coverages are structured and the steps taken to create incentives to provide cost-effective services or to take advantage of features of services that offset costs can significantly affect the cost of mandating particular coverages.
 - 3. The general assembly hereby finds and declares the following:
 - (1) The merits of a particular coverage mandate shall be balanced against a variety of consequences that may go far beyond the immediate effect upon the cost of insurance coverage;
 - (2) A systematic review of legislation proposing mandated or mandatorily offered health coverage that explores all ramifications of the proposed legislation will assist the

3

7

11 12

3

6

7 8

11

12 13

18 general assembly determining whether mandating a particular coverage or offering is in 19 the public interest.

376.1578. As used in sections 376.1575 to 376.1593, unless otherwise specifically provided, the following terms shall mean:

- (1) "Appropriate committees of the general assembly" or "committees", standing 4 committees of the Missouri state senate and house of representatives that have jurisdiction over issues that regulate health carriers, health care facilities, health care providers, or health care services;
 - (2) "Health carrier" or "carrier" shall have the same meaning as ascribed in section 376.1350;
- 9 (3) "Mandated health benefit", "mandated benefit", or "benefit", coverage or offering required by law to be provided by a health carrier to: 10
 - (a) Cover a specific health care service or services;
 - (b) Cover treatment of a specific condition or conditions; or
- 13 (c) Contract, pay, or reimburse specific categories of health care providers for 14 specific services; a mandated option is not a mandated health benefit;
- 15 (4) "Mandated health benefit review commission", the commission established in 16 section 376.1581.
 - 376.1581. 1. There is hereby established a commission to be known as the "Mandated Health Benefit Review Commission" within the department of insurance. The commission shall consist of the following twelve members:
- 4 (1) The director of the department of insurance who shall serve in a nonvoting 5 advisory capacity;
 - (2) The director of the department of health and senior services who shall serve in a nonvoting advisory capacity;
- (3) Two members of the Missouri house of representatives, one from each major political party represented in the house of representatives, appointed by the speaker of the house who shall serve in a nonvoting advisory capacity; 10
 - (4) Two members of the senate, one from each major political party represented in the senate, appointed by the president pro tem of the senate who shall serve in a nonvoting advisory capacity;
- 14 (5) One member representing the interests of employers having more than one 15 hundred employees to be appointed by the governor with the advice and consent of the 16 senate;
- 17 (6) One member representing the interests of employers having less than one hundred employees to be appointed by the governor with the advice and consent of the

19 senate;

- (7) Two individual purchasers of health insurance policies to be appointed by the governor with the advice and consent of the senate; and
- (8) Two employees that pay a percentage of their health insurance sponsored by their employers to be appointed by the governor with the advice and consent of the senate.
- 2. Members appointed by the governor shall serve for four-year terms and until their successors are appointed; except that, the terms of half of the six original appointees shall be for two years. All other members, except legislative members, shall serve for as long as they hold the position which made them eligible for appointment. Legislative members shall serve during their current term of office but may be reappointed.
- 3. Members of the commission shall not be compensated for their services, but may be reimbursed for actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties. The office of administration and the departments of insurance, and health and senior services shall provide such support as the commission requires to aid it in the performance of its duties. The commission may consult with experts from the health research, biostatistics, actuarial science and other areas the commission deems appropriate.
- 4. The members appointed by the governor shall be residents of Missouri. Any vacancy on the commission shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.
 - 5. The commission shall be established by October 1, 2003.
- 376.1584. 1. After the mandated health benefit review commission has been established pursuant to section 376.1581, the commission shall review all existing state mandated health benefits and the projected costs of such mandates, and issue a report to the president pro tem of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, and the respective committees in both houses which handle health and insurance issues. The report shall state the costs of all current state and federal mandated health benefits and recommend to the general assembly which mandated health benefits should be repealed from state law.
- 2. The commission shall submit the list of the proposed deletions of state mandated health benefits to the general assembly no later than the tenth legislative day of the session beginning in January, 2005. Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, upon submittal, the general assembly may by resolution implement the recommendations of the mandated health benefit review commission. The resolution shall contain all the recommendations of the commission.

376.1587. If a proposed legislative measure contains a mandated health benefit, the appropriate committee of the general assembly having jurisdiction over the proposal shall hold a public hearing and determine the level of support for the proposal health benefit

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

4 among the members of the committee. If there is support for the proposed health benefit mandate among a majority of the members of the committee, the committee may refer the 6 proposal to the mandated health benefit review commission for review and evaluation 7 pursuant to sections 376.1590 and 376.1593. Upon review and evaluation, the committee shall review the findings of the mandated health benefit review commission. A proposed 9 health benefit mandate shall not be enacted into law unless review and evaluation pursuant 10 to sections 376.1590 and 376.1593 has been completed.

376.1590. Every proposed legislative measure that mandates a health insurance coverage, whether by requiring payment for certain providers or by requiring an offering of a health insurance coverage by an insurer or health carrier as a component of individual 4 or group health insurance policies, shall be accompanied by a report prepared by the mandated health benefit review commission that assesses both the social and financial 6 effects of the coverage in the manner provided in section 376.1593, including the efficacy 7 of the treatment or service proposed.

376.1593. Upon referral of a mandated health benefit proposal from the 2 appropriate committee of the general assembly having jurisdiction over the proposal, the 3 mandated health benefit review commission shall conduct a review and evaluation of the 4 mandated health benefit proposal and shall report to the committee in a timely manner. The report shall include, at a minimum and to the extent that information is available, the following:

- (1) The social impact of mandating the health benefit, including:
- (a) The extent to which the treatment or service is utilized by a significant portion of the population;
 - (b) The extent to which the treatment or service is available to the population;
- (c) The extent to which insurance coverage for this treatment or service is already available:
- (d) If coverage is not generally available, the extent to which the lack of coverage results in persons being unable to obtain necessary health care treatment;
- (e) If the coverage is not generally available, the extent to which the lack of coverage results in unreasonable financial hardship on those persons needing treatment;
- (f) The level of public demand and the level of demand from providers for the treatment or service;
- (g) The level of public demand and the level of demand from the providers for individual or group insurance coverage of the treatment or service;
- 21 The level of interest in and the extent to which collective bargaining organizations are negotiating privately for inclusion of such coverage in group contracts;

H.B. 129 5

23 (i) The likelihood of achieving the objectives of meeting a consumer need as 24 evidenced by the experience of other states;

- (j) The relevant findings of the state health planning agency or the appropriate health system agency relating to the social impact of the mandated health benefit;
 - (k) The alternatives to meeting the identified need;
- (l) Whether the health benefit is a medical or a broader social need and whether it is consistent with the role of health insurance and the concept of managed care;
 - (m) The impact of any social stigma attached to the health benefit upon the market;
- (n) The impact of such health benefit on the availability of other benefits currently being offered;
- (o) The impact of the health benefit as it relates to employers shifting to self-insured plans and the extent to which the health benefit is currently being offered by employers with self-insured plans; and
- (p) The impact of making the health benefit applicable to the state employee health insurance program established pursuant to chapter 103, RSMo;
 - (2) The financial impact of mandating the health benefit, including:
- (a) The extent to which the proposed insurance coverage will increase or decrease the cost of the treatment or service over the next five years;
- (b) The extent to which the proposed coverage may increase the appropriate or inappropriate use of the treatment or service over the next five years;
- (c) The extent to which the mandated treatment or service may serve as an alternative for more expensive or less expensive treatment or service;
- (d) The methods that will be instituted to manage the utilization and costs of the proposed mandate;
- (e) The extent to which the insurance coverage may affect the number and types of providers of the mandated treatment or service over the next five years;
- (f) The extent to which insurance coverage of the health care service or provider may be reasonably expected to increase or decrease the insurance premium and administrative expenses of policyholders;
- (g) The impact of indirect costs, which are costs other than premiums and administrative costs, on the question of the costs and benefits of coverage;
- (h) The impact of such coverage on the total cost of health care, including potential benefits and savings to insurers and employers because the proposed mandated treatment or service prevents disease or illness or leads to the early detection and treatment of disease or illness that is less costly than treatment or service for later stages of a disease or illness;
 - (i) The effects of mandating the health benefit on the cost of health care,

62

63 64

65

66

67

68 69

72

74

77

78

79

80

81

82

particularly the premium and administrative expenses and indirect costs, to employers and employees, including the financial impact on small employers, medium-sized employers and large employers; and

- (j) The effect of the proposed mandate on cost-shifting between private and public payors of health care coverage and on the overall cost of the health care delivery system in this state;
 - (3) The medical efficacy of mandating the health benefit, including:
- (a) The contribution of the health benefit to the quality of patient care and the health status of the population, including the results of any research demonstrating the medical efficacy of the treatment or service compared to alternatives or not providing the treatment or service; and
- 70 **(b)** If the legislation seeks to mandate coverage of an additional class of 71 practitioners:
 - a. The results of any professionally acceptable research demonstrating the medical results achieved by the additional class of practitioners relative to those already covered; and
- b. The methods of the appropriate professional organization that assure clinicalproficiency; and
 - (4) The effects of balancing the social, economic and medical efficacy considerations, including:
 - (a) The extent to which the need for coverage outweighs the costs of mandating the health benefit for all policyholders;
 - (b) The extent to which the problem of coverage may be solved by mandating the availability of the coverage as an option for policyholders; and
- (c) The cumulative impact of mandating such health benefit in combination with existing mandates on the costs and availability of coverage.